

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

RENARD T. POLK,

Plaintiff,

3:13-cv-00350-RCJ-WGC

v.

ORDER

STATE OF NEVADA et al.,

Defendants.

In 2013, Plaintiff Renard Polk filed a Complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 attached to an application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The Court denied the application and dismissed the Complaint with prejudice under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A for failure to state a claim. Plaintiff asked the Court to reconsider dismissal and filed a new application. In 2014, the Court denied the motion to reconsider, as well as the new application, which the Court treated as a motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal. Plaintiff appealed. The Court of Appeals denied his motion to proceed *in forma pauperis* on appeal and dismissed the appeal for failure to prosecute when he failed to pay the filing fees. In 2015, the Court of Appeals denied Plaintiff's petition for a writ of mandamus. Earlier this year, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking leave to file an amended complaint, which the Court denied. Plaintiff has now filed a new application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. The motion is denied as moot. Judgment has been entered, the appeal has been dismissed, and the mandate has issued.

///

///


///

1 **CONCLUSION**

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Application to Proceed *in Forma Pauperis* (ECF No.
3 25) is DENIED as moot.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 DATED: This 7th day of November, 2018.

6
7 
8 ROBERT C. JONES
9 United States District Judge
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28